



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,310	12/17/2004	Hidehito Kotani	262507US0PCT	6711
22850	7590	03/23/2007	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.				SHAW, AMANDA MARIE
1940 DUKE STREET				ART UNIT
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				PAPER NUMBER
				1634
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/23/2007		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/517,310	KOTANI ET AL.
	Examiner Amanda M. Shaw	Art Unit 1634

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Amanda M. Shaw. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Thomas Cunningham. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 3716/2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: NA.


Identification of prior art discussed: Imai et al reference.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant contacted the examiner to request a copy of the Table of Contents Page for Molecular Cancer Therapeutics Vol 1, Issue 8. The copy as per request is attached herein. It is also noted that the Table of Contents states that the journal was published June 1, 2002.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



RAM R. SHUKLA, PH.D.  
PREDICTIVE PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

### Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

### 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.


A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,  
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

### Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Receive this page by email each issue: [\[Sign up for eTOCs\]](#)**Contents: June 1 2002, Volume 1, Issue 8** [\[Index by Author\]](#) [\[Cover Caption\]](#)

- [Articles](#)
- [Review](#)
- [Editorial Perspective](#)

**Other Issues:****Find articles in this issue containing these words:** [Enter](#)[\[Search ALL Issues\]](#)

To see an article, click its [Full Text] link. To review many abstracts, check the boxes to the left of the titles you want, and click the 'Get All Checked Abstract(s)' button. To see one abstract at a time, click its [Abstract] link.

[Clear](#) [Get All Checked Abstract\(s\)](#)**Articles:** 

□ Cory L. Grand, Haiyong Han, Rubén M. Muñoz, Steve Weitman, Daniel D. Von Hoff, Laurence H. Hurley, and David J. Bearss

**The Cationic Porphyrin TMPyP4 Down-Regulates c-MYC and Human Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase Expression and Inhibits Tumor Growth *in Vivo***Mol Cancer Ther 2002 1: 565-573. [\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)

□ Kenneth L. van Golen, LiWei Bao, Melinda M. DiVito, ZhiFen Wu, George C. Prendergast, and Sofia D. Merajver  
**Reversion of RhoC GTPase-induced Inflammatory Breast Cancer Phenotype by Treatment with a Farnesyl Transferase Inhibitor**

Mol Cancer Ther 2002 1: 575-583. [\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)

□ Roy Noy, Zvi Ben-Zvi, Esther Manor, Fabio Candotti, John C. Morris, Harry Ford, Jr, Victor E. Marquez, David G. Johns, and Riad Agbaria

**Antitumor Activity and Metabolic Activation of N-Methanocarbathymidine, a Novel Thymidine Analogue with a Pseudosugar Rigidly Fixed in the Northern Conformation, in Murine Colon Cancer Cells Expressing Herpes Simplex Thymidine Kinase**Mol Cancer Ther 2002 1: 585-593. [\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)

□ Dominic Fan, Seiji Yano, Hisashi Shinohara, Carmen Solorzano, Melissa Van Arsdall, Corazon D. Bucana, Sen Pathak, Ewa Kruzel, Roy S. Herbst, Amir Onn, Jennifer S. Roach, Masanori Onda, Qing-cheng Wang, Ira Pastan, and Isaiah J. Fidler

**Targeted Therapy against Human Lung Cancer in Nude Mice by High-Affinity Recombinant Antimesothelin Single-Chain Fv Immunotoxin**Mol Cancer Ther 2002 1: 595-600. [\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)

Julie A. Hutt and James W. DeWille

**Oncostatin M Induces Growth Arrest of Mammary Epithelium via a CCAAT/enhancer-binding Protein  $\delta$ -dependent Pathway**

Mol Cancer Ther 2002 1: 601-610. [\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



Yasuo Imai, Minoru Nakane, Kumie Kage, Satomi Tsukahara, Etsuko Ishikawa, Takashi Tsuruo, Yoshio Miki, and Yoshikazu Sugimoto

**C421A Polymorphism in the Human Breast Cancer Resistance Protein Gene Is Associated with Low Expression of Q141K Protein and Low-Level Drug Resistance**

Mol Cancer Ther 2002 1: 611-616. [\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)

Miriam L. Wahl, Judith A. Owen, Randy Burd, Robin A. Herlands, Suzanne S. Nogami, Ulrich Rodeck, David Berd, Dennis B. Leeper, and Charles S. Owen

**Regulation of Intracellular pH in Human Melanoma: Potential Therapeutic Implications**

Mol Cancer Ther 2002 1: 617-628. [\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)

Marianne D. Sadar, Violetta A. Akopian, and Eliana Beraldi

**Characterization of a New *in Vivo* Hollow Fiber Model for the Study of Progression of Prostate Cancer to Androgen Independence**

Mol Cancer Ther 2002 1: 629-637. [\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)

## Review:

Andrei L. Gartel and Angela L. Tyner

**The Role of the Cyclin-dependent Kinase Inhibitor p21 in Apoptosis**

Mol Cancer Ther 2002 1: 639-649. [\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)

## Editorial Perspective:

Bruce Acres, Peter Beverley, and Susy Scholl

**Tumor Immunology and the Battle of Waterloo**

Mol Cancer Ther 2002 1: 651-655. [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)

[Clear](#)

[Get All Checked Abstract\(s\)](#)

To see an article, click its [Full Text] link. To review many abstracts, check the boxes to the left of the titles you want, and click the 'Get All Checked Abstract(s)' button. To see one abstract at a time, click its [Abstract] link.

---

[HOME](#) [HELP](#) [FEEDBACK](#) [SUBSCRIPTIONS](#) [ARCHIVE](#) [SEARCH](#) [TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Cancer Research](#)

[Clinical Cancer Research](#)

[Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention](#)

[Molecular Cancer Therapeutics](#)

[Molecular Cancer Research](#)

[Cell Growth & Differentiation](#)

Copyright © 2004 by the American Association for Cancer Research.